

July 2012

## IDENTIFICATION AND LISTING OF FACTORS AFFECTING SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE

ASHWIN TOMAR

*1Dept Computer Science, Siddhant Institute of Computer Application, Pune, MH, India,*  
mcatomarashwin@gmail.com

V M. THAKARE

*P. G. Dept. of Computer Science dept. Amravati University, Amravati, MH, India, Vilthakare@yahoo.co.in*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijcct>

---

### Recommended Citation

TOMAR, ASHWIN and THAKARE, V M. (2012) "IDENTIFICATION AND LISTING OF FACTORS AFFECTING SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE," *International Journal of Computer and Communication Technology*. Vol. 3 : Iss. 3 , Article 14.

DOI: 10.47893/IJCCT.2012.1144

Available at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijcct/vol3/iss3/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Interscience Journals at Interscience Research Network. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Computer and Communication Technology by an authorized editor of Interscience Research Network. For more information, please contact [sritampatnaik@gmail.com](mailto:sritampatnaik@gmail.com).

# IDENTIFICATION AND LISTING OF FACTORS AFFECTING SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE

<sup>1</sup>ASHWIN TOMAR & <sup>2</sup>V M THAKARE

<sup>1</sup>Dept Computer Science, Siddhant Institute of Computer Application, Pune, MH, India

<sup>2</sup>P. G. Dept. of Computer Science dept. Amravati University, Amravati, MH, India

E-mail : mcatomarashwin@gmail.com, Vilthakare@yahoo.co.in

---

**Abstract** – Software Quality is an important factor in software industry which depends on many factor involving process and product development. In this era achieving standard quality level in software is very important because of the high customer demands. This paper identifies reviews and addresses the factors affecting the quality of software in long run and indirectly suggests improvement for achieving it.

**Keywords-** *Software Quality Assurance Process, Software Quality control, Software as End Product*

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

Software Quality Assurance as per IEEE is a “planned and systematic pattern of all actions necessary to provide adequate confidence that an item or product conforms to established technical requirements” [1]. A set of activities designed to evaluate the process by which the products are developed or manufactured.

IEEE Standard 12207 defines QA as “a process for providing adequate assurance that the software products and processes in the product life cycle conform to their specific requirements and adhere to their established plans” [2]. Thus quality is treated as measurably (not philosophical issue) meeting expectations and conforming to requirements. The rigor of the process should be chosen to suit the needs of the product and organization [2].

Software Quality control is defined as “a set of activities designed to evaluate the quality of a developed or manufactured product” (IEEE, 1991).

A company has to produce very high quality products to achieve a respectable position in global market. In this era of globalization things are changing and moving with great pace. To survive in this world of global competition needs efforts, money, people and time. Time is very precious and one cannot go back to find mistakes and errors committed during the process as it involves cost, time and resources. What ever is done should be consider as first and last time. To avoid such problem the organization should come up with major factors influencing the quality of process and the product. There have been many incidences of failure in real time software system which led to serious consequences. The main role of SQAP (software quality assurance process) is to maintain the quality of the software products. SQAP is very important as it builds quality into the products.

In traditional development SQAP requires reviews at each stage, with careful records, verification and signatures. In an agile programming

environment SQA has to be more flexible. The quality assurance principle under open source software development is an approach to improve software product quality against traditional methods and techniques. Someone must be responsible for assuring testing of basic requirements, rapidly updating and recording regression test and ensuring progress reviews

Quality assurance under Open source development deals with larger development community and security issues [17]. Delivery of high quality products and services is for increasing customer satisfaction is the need of open source development [16]. SQCP is the process for controlling and monitoring the quality of the software. All this results into a standard product with high level of quality. In this, rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section II deal with short Literature Survey, Section III present Research Methodology, and Section IV has listing of all factor affecting software quality assurance, Section V analysis, results, conclusion and future work.

## II. RELATED WORK

We identified principal/key factors affecting the software quality assurance process. Many authors have classified the various factors under specific domains. Some authors have suggested techniques like Inspection [24], Peer Reviews [25] useful for all phases of software development. Review of documents and artifacts, design documents, coding documents are important for quality control activities. Inspection is quite useful for assuring quality in software development. Inspection helps for detecting and removing the errors. Revision is sort of revising work in all phase. Revision builds confidence in the process. Some authors have classified factors according to the influences. Some have classified them on basis of software development phases.

### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### A. Searching and Selecting Papers

The main criteria for searching were journal, conference paper and other paper on factors. Searching was done manually and also on internet.

#### B. Information Sources

We have searched and collected information from various sources which are listed in references

- www.acm.org
- www.ieee.org
- www.sciencedirect.com
- Springer Journals
- Elsevier Journals
- Conference papers (National and International)

#### C. Threats to Validity

The main validity threats of this study are publication selection bias, misclassification and unavailability of research paper. We have tried to search journal and conference paper on Internet but we could get limited papers from IEEE, Springer, and ACM. We like to submit a detailed analysis of paper with relationship between these factors in future. Presently we identified and listed factors based on research paper available with us.

### IV. FACTORS AFFECTING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS

The factors have to be identified which will optimize the software development activities and bring profit to the industry. It is human centric process and involves time. Process maturity level predicts the quality of software and other aspects. Identifying of requirements and finalizing them is time consuming process. The software development should be dynamic and not mechanical activity and hence factors should be identified. Moreover the relationship between various factors should be identified. The process adopted for developing software needs to take into account these factors. Mapping is required between various factors to optimize the process of software quality.

The journey of software development can be divided into following major phases which are Quality Assurance Process SQAP i.e. Input, Quality Control Process SQCP i.e. Measure & Software as end product SAEP i.e. Output.

#### A. SQAP - Software Quality Assurance Process

SQAP is the process involving various activities of software development. It involves building quality into the product through four main phases which are requirements gathering, converting requirement into

the design phases, implementing the product developed, maintaining the product. There are four main developmental approaches i.e. structured, object oriented, component base and web based development [6]. For any process the goal has to be defined. SQAP determines whether everything is going according to police standards and procedures. QA is about providing assurance and credibility the product should work right and people should believe that it will work right. It is an expensive time consuming process. It touches all aspect of project. It pushes the product outdoor. SQA is the responsibility of separate independent group and has authority of redevelopment and retesting when needed. It is involved in improvement of process and product. It works like the process police. Thus it is a preventive approach and prevents faults from occurring by providing rules and methods. It prevents defects from occurring. It establishes process.

There are many factor associated with activities which influences the quality assurance process. Some of them are listed as:

- Planning (objective, review plan, appraisal plan)

Any activity associated with SQA has to be planned and should be with objectives. The plan has been reviewed, approved with concerned person.

- Standards (code, design)

Standards are the set of guidelines which help to achieve best results. The standards include CMMI and ISO but it is difficult and costly for small Software Development Organizations to follow the standards.

- Rules
- Legal Procedure

Procedure should be made legal so that they are followed properly. They are developed to help organizations to achieve quality products.

- Documentation (of Process & Product)

Any legal activity which is going on should be documented.

- Guidelines

Their should be guidelines for every activity which are taking place under SQAP.

- Responsibility

For every activity which is being carried there should be responsible person.

- Technology
- Right Conduct
- Authority

- Approvals
- Environment
- Culture [11],[21]

Culture difference among software developers, project managers, and executive managers were the main reason in Thailand for not implementing software process models and improvements.

- Risk
- Size [20]
- Report
- Reuse [23] ( code and design )
- Virtue & Ethics [13]

Poor quality of software presents an ethical issue for society. Quality in recent times is extremely poor causing significant monetary and social problems. Quality problems are also affecting our national security. E.g. National security plan is required to protect the cyberspace. Some other factors are listed here with. There are many innumerable sub factor/aspect on which a factor depends.

- Cost involved
- Efforts ( Manpower i.e. developers, tester, others )
- Practice [4]
- Schedule
- Schedule Pressure
- Approaches
- Techniques (Customization )
- Feedback/Customer satisfaction
- Output of each phase entering into other phases
- Tools
- Time limit ( deadlines)
- Revision (all phase, design, code review, technical review, walkthrough)[12]
- Checklist
- Manuals
- Inspection ( code inspection)

Fagan and Gibbs have suggested on code inspection [24]

- Defects (low, high, medium severity)
- Certification (quality attributes, process, level of that attribute)
- Management (Co-ordination & Team Communication)

- Result Oriented
- Budget Pressure [19]
- Resources
- Process Metrics

Key activities are identified, controlled, monitored and measured by metrics [7]. Key parameters are identified and variations are measured. Corrective actions can be taken in case of deviation.

- Information Diversity [14]
- Task conflict [14]
- Learning [14]
- Project Management
- Methodologies[8]
- Cycle Time ( speed of process)
- Complexity (process, code)

The Software complexity has a deep relationship with constraints, testing, quality, development and productivity [4].

- Process Maturity
- Security (in open source development)[17]

All above factors are being listed from papers (journals, conference) listed in reference. These entire factors have impact on the quality of the software. These factors are in some way influencing the quality assurance process thereby building, accumulating quality drop by drop into software. Many times it is seen that these factors if not taken care starts eroding the quality from software. So all the factors has to be taken care of in some or other way.

Some authors have classified the above factors as per their influence as listed [10]

### ***Influencing factors***

- *Development Context* (Development process e.g. waterfall, Programming language e.g. C++, Notation e.g. UML, Reuse e.g. High, low, med).
- *Goals* ( Quality attributes e.g. reliability, Quality levels i.e. high, medium, low, Defect classes i.e. critical, major , secondary goals e.g. team building)
- *New Technology* e.g. Ajax
- *Resources* (efforts i.e.7/8 hours, experience, time, team size),
- *Artifact* (Size, Complexity, Defect density, Extent of quality).
- *Tools* e.g. QTP.

### Variable factors

- *Focus* (Quality attribute, defect class),
- *Resources* ( Effort, Team Size, Experience )
- *Scope*,
- Entry/exit criteria (reading techniques,
- Inspection ( Applied phases e.g. planning, meeting, Roles, Process structure, Documentation, Defect detection procedure)
- *Testing* (tool, test execution, test methods), and
- *General* (resources, Scope of QA, focus of QA, criteria)

### Some other have classified into two major

- Distrust able factors
- Dependable factors

### B. SQCP-Software Quality Control Process

SQCP prevents deviation from normal development is indirectly influencing quality. The process which is going on is controlled by different ways. It is monitored and evaluated to keep it to maximum level for optimized output. It is corrective approach. It find faults, corrects faults when occurs. Task is conducted on products. It implements process. Testing is one of the activities which are carried from starting to last phase. Testing and Quality are like two sides of same coin. More we spend on quality, better we get the results. Factors controlling the process are listed as below:-

- Examining
- Monitoring
- Inspection
- Measuring /Measurement( process, product) [8]
- Evaluation ( *Establish, Specify, Design, Execute the evaluation* )
- Testing (What goes into QA?)

A solid test plan should ensure that design is appropriate, the implementation is careful and the product meets all requirements before release [2].

- Continuous Improvement [3]
- Audit ( fail / pass)
- Improvement ( process, product) [6], [14]
- Product Metrics
- Product Evaluation
- Feedback
- Evaluation Report

- Team involved ( Certified or not, experienced or not, skill, relationship among them)

### C. SAEP - Software As End Product

SAEP i.e. output Product which is obtained is measured, checked and used further. Various techniques like regression tree, case based reasoning, neural network, genetic algorithm, Bayesian Network, Principal component analysis, Fuzzy logic, Function points, metrics' based are employed by authors for estimating the quality of the product [22].

## V. RESULT, CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Software Quality is dependent on many listed factor. It is very important to list all factors to optimize the process of software development. Identification of all these factors depends on availability of number of research paper. Improving quality leads to decreasing rework, cost, and schedules. This leads to improved capability which in turn lowers prices and larger market shares. All this causes increased profits and business continuity.

One of the major problems with software development organization of low and medium process maturity is that the priority is always to maintain the stability of the organization. Such organization cannot afford to invest more money in process improvement as their future is unpredictable. Our future work is to develop a model on software quality assurance. The relationship can be established among these factors.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Wm. Arthur Conklin, " Software Assurance: The Need for Definitions", Proceedings of 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences 2011 IEEE.
- [2] Feldman Stuart, J. 2005, "Quality Assurance: Much More than Testing", www.acmqueue.com.
- [3] Hardgrave Bill. C, Armstrong Deborah. J. 2005, "Software Process Improvement: It's a Journey, Not a Destination " In Communication of The ACM Nov 2005, Vol. 48. No.11.
- [4] Alsultanny Yas A, Wohaishi Ahmed M, 2009, "Requirements of Software Quality Assurance Model", IEEE 2009, Second International Conference on Environment and Computer Science, DOI= <http://doi.acm.org/10.1109/ICECS.2009.43>.
- [5] Borotto, Brian Berenbach Gail, "Metric for Model Driven Requirements Development", ICSE' 06, May 20-28, 2006, ACM 1-59593-085-X/06/0005.
- [6] Kenyer Dominguez, Maria Perez, Anna C. Griman, Maryoly Ortega, Luis Mendoza, "Software Quality Model Based on Software Development Approaches".
- [7] S. Balan, "A composite Model for SQA ", www.stickymind.com.
- [8] Shuobo Xu, Dishu Xu, "Project Management Methodologies: Are they sufficient to Develop software ".
- [9] Stefam Haefliger, Georg Von Krogh, Sebastian Spa, "Code Reuse in Open Source Software".

Article in a journal:

- [10] Saif Shahela, Khan Aliya Ashraf, Arif Fahim, "An analysis of a Comprehensive Planning Framework for Customizing SQA", NSEC'10, 04-oct-2010, ACM 978-1-4503-0026-1/10/10.
- [11] Phongpaibul Monvorath, Boehm Barry, "Improving the Quality Through Software Process Improvement in Thailand: Initial Analysis", 3-WoSQ '05, May 17, 2005, ACM 1-59593-122-8/05/0005.
- [12] Kemerer Chris F, Paulk Mark C, "The Impact of Design and Code Reviews on Software Quality : An Empirical Study Based on PSP Data", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol 5, No-4, July /Aug 2009.
- [13] Peslak Alan R, "Improving Software Quality: An Ethics Based Approach", SIGMIS'04, April 22-24, 2004, ACM 1-58113-847-4/04/0004.
- [14] Ting-Peng Liang, James Jiang, Gary S. Klein, Julie Yu-Chih Liu, "Software Quality as Influenced by Informational Diversity, Task Conflict, and Learning in Project Teams", IEEE Transaction on Engineering Management, Vol- 57, No-3, August 2010.
- [15] Paul R. Croll, "System and Software Assurance-Rationalizing Governance, Engineering Practice and Engineering Economics", 2010, IEEE.
- [16] Atieh Khanjani, Riza Sulaiman, "The Process of Quality Assurance under open Source Software Development", 2011 IEEE Symposium on Computers and Informatics.
- [17] Tobias Otte, Robert Moreton, Heinz D.Knoell, "Development of A Quality Assurance Framework for Open Source Development Model", 2008 IEEE, The Third International Conference on Software Engineering Advances, DOI-10.1109/ICSEA.2008.17.
- [18] Nicolas Bettenburg and Ahmed E. Hassan, "Studying the Impact of Social Structures on Software Quality", 18th IEEE International Conference on Program Comprehension, 2010, IEEE DOI 10.11.1109/ICPC.2010.46.
- [19] Ning Nau, Donal E. Harter, "Impact of Budget and Schedule Pressure on Software Development Cycle Time and Effort", IEEE Transaction on Software Engineering, Vol -35, No-5, September, October 2009.
- [20] Parag C. Pendharkar James A. Rodger, "An empirical study of the impact of team size on software development effort", DOI 10.1007/s10799-006-0005-3.
- [21] Maureen Tanner, "Communication and Culture in Global Software Development: The Case of Mauritius and South Africa," Journal of Information, Information Technology, and Organizations vol 4, 2009.
- [22] Ashwin Tomar, V.M.Thakare, " The Study of Models on Software Quality Assurance", IJSEA.
- [23] Ashwin Tomar, V.M.Thakare, " A Study of Software Reuse and Models", IJCA Proceedings on National Conference on Innovative Paradigms In Engineering and Technology 2012 ", Vol No -15.
- [24] David L. Parnas, Mark Lawford, "The Role of Inspection in SQA", IEEE Transaction on Software Engineering, Aug 2003, Vol 29, No 8. Article in a conference proceedings:
- [25] Streit Jonathan, Pizka Markus, "Why Software Quality Improvement Fails", ICSE 2011, May 21-28, ACM 978-1-4503-0445-0/11/05.
- [26] Ali Javed, Muazzam Maqsard, "How to Improve Software Quality Assurance in Developing Countries", ACIJ, Vol3, No-2, March 2012.
- [27] Omar Alshthry, Helge Janicke, Hussein Zedan, Abdullah AlHussein, "Quantitative Quality Assurance Approach", 2009 International Conference on New Trends in Information and Services, Science, 2009 IEEE DOI 10.11.0/NISS.2009.114.
- [28] Gu Hongying, Yang Cheng, "An empirical Implementatin of Peer Review in software Development", ICIII, 2011, IEEE, DOI 10.11.09/ICIII.2011.317.

