

July 2012

Analysis And Design of Flat Slab And Grid Slab And Their Cost Comparison

Amit A. Sathawane

Yeshwantrao Chavhan College of Engineering Nagpur, India, amit13_sat@yahoo.co.in

R.S. Deotale

Yeshwantrao Chavhan College of Engineering Nagpur, India, rsdeotale1@rediffmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijatce>

Recommended Citation

Sathawane, Amit A. and Deotale, R.S. (2012) "Analysis And Design of Flat Slab And Grid Slab And Their Cost Comparison," *International Journal of Advanced Technology in Civil Engineering*: Vol. 1: Iss. 3, Article 10.

DOI: 10.47893/IJATCE.2012.1031

Available at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijatce/vol1/iss3/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Interscience Journals at Interscience Research Network. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Advanced Technology in Civil Engineering by an authorized editor of Interscience Research Network. For more information, please contact sritampatnaik@gmail.com.

Analysis And Design of Flat Slab And Grid Slab And Their Cost Comparison

Amit A. Sathawane & R.S. Deotale

Department of Civil Engineering, Yeshwantrao Chavhan College of Engineering Nagpur, India
E-mail : amit13_sat@yahoo.co.in & rsdeotale1@rediffmail.com

Abstract - The FLAT slab system of construction is one in which the beam is used in the conventional methods of construction done away with the directly rests on column and the load from the slabs is directly transferred to the columns and then to the foundation. Drops or columns are generally provided with column heads or capitals. Grid floor systems consisting of beams spaced at regular intervals in perpendicular directions, monolithic with slab. They are generally employed for architectural reasons for large rooms such as auditoriums, vestibules, theatre halls, show rooms of shops where column free space is often the main requirement. The aim of the project is to determine the most economical slab between flat slab with drop, Flat slab without drop and grid slab. The proposed construction site is Nexus point apposite to Vidhan Bhavan and beside NMC office, Nagpur. The total length of slab is 31.38 m and width is 27.22 m. total area of slab is 854.16 sqm. It is designed by using M₃₅ Grade concrete and Fe₄₁₅ steel. Analysis of the flat slab and grid slab has been done both manually by IS 456-2000 and by using software also. Flat slab and Grid slab has been analyzed by STAAD PRO. Rates have been taken according to N.M.C. C.S.R


It is observed that the FLAT slab with drop is more economical than Flat slab without drop and Grid slabs.

Keywords- Flat slab with drop, Flat slab without drop, grid slab, drop, column capital.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. FLAT SLAB

A reinforced concrete flat slab, also called as beamless slab, is a slab supported directly by columns without beams. A part of the slab bounded on each of the four sides by centre line of column is called panel. The flat slab is often thickened close to supporting columns to provide adequate strength in shear and to reduce the amount of negative reinforcement in the support regions. The thickened portion i.e. the projection below the slab is called drop or drop panel. In some cases, the section of column at top, as it meets the floor slab or a drop panel, is enlarged so as to increase primarily the perimeter of the critical section, for shear and hence, increasing the capacity of the slab for resisting two-way shear and to reduce negative bending moment at the support. Such enlarged or flared portion of and a capital. Slabs of constant thickness which do not have drop panels or column capitals are referred to as flat plates. The strength of the flat plate structure is often limited due to punching shear action around columns, and consequently they are used for light loads and relatively small spans.



METHODS

DESIGN OF FLAT SLAB

Methods of Design:

Two approximate method methods are adopted by the codes for the design of flat slab or flat plate .These method can be used provided the limitations specified therein are *satisfied*. The two design methods are a) *The direct design method*. (b) *The equivalent frame method*

1.2 GRID SLAB

Grid floor systems consisting of beams spaced at regular intervals in perpendicular directions, monolithic with slab. They are generally employed for architectural reasons for large rooms such as auditoriums, vestibules, theatre halls, show rooms of shops where column free space is often the main requirement. The rectangular or square void formed in the ceiling is advantageously utilized for concealed architectural lighting. The sizes of the beams running in perpendicular directions are generally kept the same. Instead of rectangular beam grid, a diagonal.

ANALYSIS OF GRID SLAB

- 1) Approximate Methods
- 2) Analysis of grid Floor by Plate Theory

II. DESIGN OF FLAT SLAB WITH DROP INTERIOR PANEL OF SIZE 10.6 × 8.86 M

Size of columns = $L_1/16$ or $H/8=500\text{mm}$

Estimate size of column capital= $D = L_2/5=1772$

Length of drop= $1/3$ span = 3.5×3.0 m

slab thickness= $L_n = L_1-b=300$ mm.

thickness of drop $H=1.25$ to 1.5 $h=450\text{mm}$

Size of external column = 500 mm square

Size of edge beam= 300×600 mm

$$f_{ck} = 35 ; f_y = 415 ;$$

Load Calculations:

- (a) Dead Load: $bD\gamma = 1 \times 0.3 \times 25 = 7.5$ KN/m
- (b) Floor Finish = 1 kN/m
- (c) Live Load = 4 kN/m

Total Design Load = 18.75 KN/m

Analyze the Interior X frame:

$$M_0 = wL_2L_n^2 / 8 = 1618.34 \text{ kNm}$$

DISTRIBUTION FACTORS:

longitudinal distribution Inter span

Support (-ve) = 0.65 , Span (+ve) = 0.35

End spans: Interior -ve = $0.75-0.1R = 0.69$

Span +ve = $.63-0.28R = 0.45$; Exterior -ve = 0.65

$R = 0.42$

Transverse distribution

Interior -ve : 75% to column strip; 25% to mid

Span +ve : 60% to column strip; 40% to mid-strip

Exterior -ve : 100% to column strip

Table-1

Item	X	Y
c to c span	10.6	8.86
Clear span(L_n)	$10.6-1.772=8.828$	7.088
Width of span(L_2)	8.86	10.6
Width of column strip (BS)	4.43	4.43
Width of mid strip	4.43	6.17
L_2/L_1	0.836	1.20

Table-2

Type of moments	Longitudinal Direction		Factors	Transverse Direction	
	Factor	Moment		CS	MS
Negative	0.65	1051.92	0.75 0.25	788.9	262.98
Positive	0.35	566.41	0.6 0.4	339.8	226.5
End span analysis (IS 456)					
Interior (-ve)	0.685	1108.77	0.75 0.25	831.5	277.19
Span(+ve)	0.448	725.62	0.6 0.4	435.3	290.24
Exterior	0.422	682.34	1	682.3	

Check for shear:

Effective depth of slab = 270 mm,

Effective depth of slab = 270 mm,

Weight of drop projection below slab = $25 \times (0.3 - 0.24) \times 1.5 = 2.25$ kN/m²

Design shear at critical section around capital

$$V_{ud} = 16.125(10.6 \times 8.86 - (\pi \times (2.26^2/4))) + 2.25(3.6 \times 3 - \pi \times (2.26^2/4))$$

$$= 1450.0 + 15.0 = 1936.5 \text{ KN.}$$

Design Shear strength of concrete:

$$\tau_c = k \cdot \tau_c \quad \text{where, } \tau_c = 0.25\sqrt{f_{ck}}$$

$$\tau_c = 0.25\sqrt{35} = 1.48 \text{ N/m}^2$$

$k = (0.5 + \beta) \tau_c$ but ≤ 1.0

$k = 1.0$; $\tau_{uc} = 1.48 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Shear resistance of concrete

$v_{uc} = \tau_{uc} \times p \times d = 1.48 \times (\pi \times 2260) \times 0.260$
 $= 4723.42 \text{ KN} > v_{ud} (= 1936)$

check for shear around the drop: The critical section is at a distance $d/2 = 270/2 = 135 \text{ mm}$ from the periphery of the drop

Design shear at critical section:

$v_{ud} = 18.125 \{ (10.6 \times 8.86) - (3.6 + 0.20) \times (3.6 + 0.270) \} = 1480.4 \text{ KN.}$

Shear resistance of concrete,

$v_{uc} = 1.48 \times 2(3800 + 3800) \times (270/1000)$
 $= 6185 \text{ KN} > v_{ud}$

LOCATION	MOMENTS (per m width)			AREA OF STEEL (mm ²)			DIAMETER OF BARS			Spacing (mm c/c)		
	Longitudinal direction	Transverse direction		Longitudinal	Transverse		Longitudinal	Transverse		Longitudinal	Transverse	
		Column	Middle		Column	Middle		Column	Middle		Column	Middle
Interior support												
-ve support	237.453	178.09	59.363	2775.30	2004.19	626.50	20	20	16	110	175	175
+ve support	127.85	76.72	51.143	1398.10	816.643	537.59	20	16	12	220	150	125
End span												
ACI METHOD												
Interior (-ve)	255.71	191.79	63.929	3026.87	2176.40	676.21	20	20	16	100	140	165
Span +ve	182.656	109.59	73.062	2061.24	1186.63	776.33	20	16	16	150	260	145
Exterior (-ve)	109.594	82.195	27.398	1186.63	877.40	284.75	20	16	12	260	355	395
End span												
IS 456												
Interior (-ve)	250.28	187.72	62.572	2951.28	2124.86	661.40	20	20	16	105	145	170
Span +ve	163.797	98.278	65.518	1827.81	1057.79	693.56	20	16	16	170	295	160
Exterior -ve	154.028	154.03		1709.10	1709.10		20	20	12	180	180	

Table-III

III. COMPARISON

3.1 Comparison of Maximum Moments obtained Manually and by Software for Flab Slab

Maximum Moments	Manually	Software
Column strip positive kNm	76.72	73
Column strip negative kNm	178.1	177
Middle strip positive kNm	51.14	54.06
Middle strip negative kNm	59.36	61.66

3.2 Comparison of Maximum Moments obtained Manually and by Software of Grid Slab



	MANUALLY	SOFTWARE
MAXIMUM MOMENT kNm	1020	1163
MAXIMUM SHEAR FORCE kN	625	618

3.3 Flat Slab With Drop:



SPAN (m)	7 × 6	8 × 7	9 × 8	10 × 9	10.6 × 8.86
CONCRETE (m ³)	88.2	137.52	190.696	270.1	293.01
AMOUNT (Rs)	853360	1348540	2052690	2972681	3009600

3.4 Rate Comparison:

	FLAT SLAB WITH DROP	FLAT SLAB WITHOUT DROP	GRID SLAB
CONCRETE (m ³)	293.01	281.87	314.63
STEEL (KG)	29800	38125	36500
TOTAL AMOUNT (Rs)	3009660	3292650	3420780
RATE PER Sqm	3524	3855	4005
RATE PER Sqft	327	358	372



4. GRAPHS:



CONCLUSION :

- 1) Drops are important criteria in increasing the shear strength of the slab.
- 2) Enhance resistance to punching failure at the junction of concrete slab & column.
- 3) By incorporating heads in slab, we are increasing rigidity of slab.
- 4) The negative moment's section shall be designed to resist the larger of the two interior negative design moments for the span framing into common supports.
- 5) Concrete required in Grid slab is more as compared to Flat slab with Drop and Flat slab without Drop.
- 6) Steel required in Flat slab without Drop is more as compared to Flat slab with Drop and Grid slab.
- 7) Flat slab with drop is more economical than Flat slab without Drop and Grid slab.
- 8) Rate per square meter of flat slab with drop (3524) was found to be more economical than flat slab without drop (3855) and grid slab (4005).
- 9) Rate per square feet of flat slab with drop (327) was found to be more economical than flat slab without drop (358) and grid slab (372).

REFERENCES

Papers:

- [1] Vemuri Venkata Rangarao, Naraparaju, Venkata Ramanarao FLAT STRIP SLAB SYSTEM, International Classes: E04B1/16; (03-04-2010)
- [2] Gowda N Bharath; Gowda S. B. Ravishankar; A.V Chandrashekar; Review and Design of Flat Plate/Slabs Construction in India .
- [3] Ahmed Ibrahim , Hani Salim and Hamdy Shehab El-Din; Moment coefficients for design of Flat slabs with and without openings. (11-06-2011)
- [4] Dario Coronelli; 'Grid Model for For Flat Slab Structures
- [5] Lawson,Patrick D; *STRUCTURAL GRID FOR SLAB FACING MATERIALS*; (03-28-1972)

P. C Books:

P. C. Varghese- Advanced Reinforced Concrete Design
Ramachandra , vijay Gehlot –Limit state of Design
concrete structure S. N. Sinha - Reinforced Concrete
Design IS: 456 – 2000 – Plain and Reinforced
Concrete – Code of Practice
S.Ramamrutham - Design of Reinforced concrete
structure Dr.V.L. Shah , Late Dr. S.r. kurve - Limit
state theory & Design of Reinforced concrete

