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Abstract—Due to the increasing use of very large databases and data warehouses, mining useful information and helpful knowledge from transactions is evolving into an important research area. Frequent Itemsets (FI) Mining is one of the most researched areas of data mining. In order to mining privacy preserving frequent itemsets on large transaction database efficiently, a new approach was proposed in this paper.

Keywords— Data mining, frequent itemsets, privacy preserving

I. INTRODUCTION

Data mining services require accurate input data for their results to be meaningful, but privacy concerns may influence users to provide spurious information. In order to preserve the privacy of the client in data mining process, a variety of techniques based on random perturbation of data records have been proposed recently.

Randomization and Distortion are the two dominant methods provided as a means to preserve the privacy. Randomization process modifies each transaction by replacing some of the existing items with non-existing items, and adding some fake items, thereby preserving the privacy. Distortion process operates on a transaction database by probabilistically changing some of the items in each transaction.

We focus on an improved distortion process that tries to enhance the accuracy by selectively modifying the list of items. The normal distortion procedure does not provide the flexibility of tuning the probability parameters for balancing privacy and accuracy parameters, and each item's presence/absence is modified with an equal probability. In improved distortion technique, frequent one item-sets, and non-frequent one item-sets are modified with a different probabilities controlled by two probability parameters $fp$, $nfp$ respectively. The owner of the data has a flexibility to tune these two probability parameters ($fp$ and $nfp$) based on his/her requirement for privacy and accuracy. The experiments conducted on real time datasets confirmed that there is a significant increase in the accuracy at a very marginal cost in privacy.

A. Model of Data Miners

Two classes of data miners are considered in this system. One is legal data miners. These miners always act legally in that they perform regular data mining tasks and would never intentionally breach the privacy of the data. On the other hand, illegal data miners would purposely discover the privacy in the data being mined. Illegal data miners come in many forms. In this paper, we focus on a particular sub-class of illegal miners. That is, in our system, illegal data miners are honest but curious: they follow proper protocol (i.e., they are honest), but they may keep track of all intermediate communications and received transactions to perform some analysis (i.e., they are curious) to discover private information.

Even though it is a relaxation from Byzantine behavior, this kind of honest but curious (nevertheless illegal) behavior is most common and has been widely adopted as an adversary model in the literatures. This is because, in reality, a workable system must benefit both the data miner and the data providers. For example, an online bookstore (the data miner) may use the association rules of purchase records to make recommendations to its customers (data providers). The data miner, as a long-term agent, requires large numbers of data providers to collaborate with. In other words, even an illegal data miner desires to build a reputation for trustworthiness. Thus, honest but curious behavior is an appropriate choice for many illegal data miners.

B. Randomization Model

Let us consider the entire mining process as an iterative one. In each stage the data miner obtains a perturbed transaction from a different data provider. With the randomization approach, each data provider employs a randomization operator $R(.)$ and applies it to one transaction $t$ which the data provider holds.

Upon receiving transactions from the data providers, the legal data miner must first perform an operation called support recovery which intends to filter out the noise injected in the data due to randomization, and then carry out the data mining tasks. At the same time, an illegal data miner may perform a particular privacy recovery algorithm
in order to discover private data from that supplied by the data providers.

Clearly, the system should be measured by its capability in terms of supporting the legal miner to discover accurate association rules, while preventing illegal miner from discovering private data.

C. New Model

Figure 2 shows the infrastructure of the newly proposed system. The legal data miner contains two components, Data Mining process (DM) and Perturbation Guidance (PG). When a data provider \( C_i \) initializes a communication session, PG first dispatches a reference \( V_k \) to \( C_i \). Based on the received \( V_k \) the data perturbation component of \( C_i \) transforms the transaction \( t \) to a perturbed one \( R(t) \) and transmits \( R(t) \) to PG. PG then updates \( V_k \) based on the recently received \( R(t) \) and forwards \( R(t) \) to the Data Mining process DM.

The key here is to properly design \( V_k \) so that correct guidance to data provider on how to distort the data transactions. In this system, \( V_k \) is an algebraic quantity derived from \( T \) (Transaction Database) which enables us to effectively maintain the accuracy of data mining while significantly reduces the leakage of private information.
D. Communication Protocol

The details of the communication protocol used between data providers and data miners are as follows. On the side of the data miner there are two current threads that perform the following operations iteratively after initializing $V_k$.

**Thread of registering Data provider**
- R1: Negotiate on the truncation level $k$ with a data provider
- R2: Wait for a ready message from a data provider
- R3: Upon receiving the ready message from a data provider
  - Register the data provider
  - Send the data provider current $V_k$
- R4: Goto R1

**Thread of Receiving data transaction**
- T1: Wait for a (perturbed) data transaction $R(t)$ from a data provider
- T2: Upon receiving the data transaction from a registered data provider,
  - Update $V_k$ based on the newly received perturbed data transaction
  - Deregister the data provider
- T3: Goto T1

For a data provider, it performs the following operations to transfer its transaction to the data miner:

- P1: Send the data miner a ready message indicating that this provider is ready to contribute to the mining process.
- P2: Wait for message that contains $V_k$ from the data miner.
- P3: Upon receiving the message from the data miner, compute $R(t)$ based on $t$ and $V_k$
- P4: Transfer $R(t)$ to the data miner.
II. RELATED WORK

In (Agrawal et al) [6], efficiency issues in privacy preserving mining are addressed. They demonstrated that it is possible to bring the efficiency to well within an order of magnitude with respect to direct mining, while retaining satisfactory privacy and accuracy levels. This improvement is achieved through changes in both the distortion process and the mining process of MASK (Mining Associations with Secrecy Konstraints), resulting in a new algorithm called EMASK (Efficient MASK).

In (Kun Liu et al) [7], the following problem is discussed. Suppose there are N organizations O1, O2…ON; each organization Oi has a private transaction database Di. A third party data miner wants to learn certain statistical properties of the union of these databases \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} DB_i \). These organizations are comfortable with this, but they are reluctant to disclose their raw data. How could the data miner perform data analysis without compromising the privacy of the data? In this scenario, the data is usually distorted and its new representation is released; anybody has arbitrary access to the published data. The authors provide randomized multiplicative data perturbation technique to solve some of the problems of additive random perturbation.

The authors in [8] used an efficient updating technique in privacy preserving frequent itemset mining, and an incremental algorithm called IPPFIM (Incremental Privacy Preserving Frequent Itemset Mining) is proposed.

III. IMPROVED DISTORTION ALGORITHM

We propose an extension to the so called distortion technique MASK (Mining Associations with Secrecy Konstraints) proposed in [2]. Accuracy and Privacy are typically contradictory in nature in the sense that, improving one normally incurs a cost in the other. The distortion approach proposed in [2] aimed at providing as much privacy as possible at the same time maintaining good accuracy in mining results. This method does not provide the flexibility of tuning the probability parameters for balancing privacy and accuracy parameters, and each item’s presence/absence is modified with an equal probability. Our approach further improves the distortion technique to provide better accuracy while keeping the privacy also as an important factor. In our improved distortion technique, frequent one itemsets are modified with a lesser probability \((fp)\), and non-frequent one itemsets are modified with a greater probability \((nfp)\). The owner of the data has a flexibility to tune these two probability parameters \((fp\) and \(nfp)\) based on his/her requirement for privacy and accuracy.

Another advantage of our distortion method is that any off-the-shelf algorithms can be used to find the frequent itemsets from the distorted database without any modification. So the time taken to mine the distorted database is same as that of the original database. Some of the previous distortion algorithms assume that the transactions are stored as bitmap files consisting of 0s and 1s. We apply distortion procedure not on the bitmap file but on the item-list representation of the database which is common representation for transactional databases. Each transaction is dynamically converted into a bitmap before distortion, and converted back to item-list representation before being stored on to the disk. So our solution is also space efficient, since much less space is consumed by a database (especially if the database is sparse) when it is represented as item lists rather than large bitmap.

A. Solution Framework

Let ‘I’ be a set of ‘n’ items \( \{a_1, a_2 \ldots a_n\} \) and ‘T’ be a set of transactions \( \{t_1, t_2 \ldots t_n\} \) where each transaction \( t_i \) is a subset of ‘I’.

Each transaction can be considered to be a random Boolean vector \( X = \{X_i\} \), such that \( X_i \) is either 0 or 1. \( X_i = 1 \) (or 0) indicates that the transaction represented by X (does not) include(s) the item \( a_i \). We generate the distorted vector from this transaction by computing \( Y = distort(X) \) where \( Y_i = X_i \oplus R_i \) and \( R_i \) is the complement of \( R_i \), a random variable with density function

\[
 f(R) = \text{Bernoulli}(p), (0 \leq p \leq 1)
\]

i.e., \( R_i \) takes a value 1 with probability \( p \) and 0 with probability \((1 - p)\). Each bit in the vector \( X \) is flipped with a probability of \( p \).

In normal distortion scheme [2], each bit is distorted with equal probability. But in optimal distortion technique frequent items are distorted with one probability, and non-frequent items are distorted with a different probability. This is to ensure that good accuracy is achieved even after distortion. These two probability parameters can be tuned as per the user’s requirements for privacy and accuracy.
B. Distortion Algorithms

Let Bitmap[1...n] contains a bitmap representation of a transaction \( t_i \) and \( p \) be the distortion probability. Convert_to_bitmap( ) converts an item-list of a transaction to a bitmap. get_random_double( ) generates a random real number between 0 and 1 with uniform probability.

C. Normal Distortion Algorithm

The normal distortion algorithm changes every item with an equal probability say \( p \). This algorithm scans the database only once.

Algorithm 1 Normal Distortion

For i ← 1 to m
   Bitmap ← Convert_to_bitmap(\( t_i \))
For j ← 1 to n
   Rand_num ← get_random_double()
   If Rand_num > p
      Bitmap[i] ← (Bitmap[i]+1)%2

Figure 3

D. Improved Distortion Algorithm

The improved distortion algorithm changes frequent items with a less probability (\( fp \)) and non-frequent items with a greater probability (\( nfp \)). The values of \( fp \) and \( nfp \) can be changed by the user. This algorithm makes two scans over the entire database. In first scan the supports are calculated for each item, and stored in an array. Let freqs[1...n] stores the frequencies of all the items and supp be the minimum support. In the second scan, the actual distortion process takes place as per the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2 Improved Distortion

For i ← 1 to m
   Bitmap ← Convert_to_bitmap(\( t_i \))
For j ← 1 to n
   Rand_num ← get_random_double()
   If freqs[j]< supp & bitmap[j]=0
      If Rand_num > nfp
         Bitmap[j] ← (Bitmap[j]+1)%2
   Else
      Rand_num ← get_random_double()
      If Rand_num > fp
         Bitmap[j] ← 0

Figure 4

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To assess the effectiveness of our algorithms, the experiments are conducted on three popular real time datasets Retail, BMS-Webview-1, BMS-Webview-2 [7].

For each of the three databases privacy (P), accuracy (A) metrics are calculated for various distortion probabilities (\( nfp \)) with an interval of 0.5 (Tables 1 to 3) and \( fp=0.95 \). The benchmark supports used for retail, BMS-Webview-1, BMS-Webview-2 are 0.003, 0.002, and 0.003 respectively. These two metrics are calculated and compared for normal distortion, and improved distortion. As the distortion probability decreases privacy increases, and accuracy decreases. The experimental results show that with a minor reduction in privacy, accuracy can be improved significantly with the improved distortion technique.

Table 4 reports the execution times of a distortion algorithm implemented using item-list file representation (\( T_i \)) and bitmap file (\( T_B \)) representation of the transactional database. Experiments are conducted in the three datasets used in this paper. For all three databases, distortion algorithm implemented using item-list representation performed better than its bitmap counterpart. In general the former consumes less space and performs significantly better for the databases in which the available items are more, and the average transaction length is less.

### Table 1. BMSWebView1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distortion Probability</th>
<th>Normal Distortion</th>
<th>Improved Distortion (( fp=0.95 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>79.14</td>
<td>85.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>88.52</td>
<td>83.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>92.53</td>
<td>67.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>62.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>96.10</td>
<td>54.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>96.99</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. CONCLUSION

An improved distortion technique for privacy preserving frequent itemset mining is proposed. Two probability parameters \((fp\) and \(nfp\)) are introduced. Better accuracy values can be obtained by tuning these two parameters with a minor reduction in privacy. This algorithm produces the best results when the fraction of frequent items among all the available items is less. The distortion technique proposed in this paper assumes that transactions are stored in the file as item-lists rather than Boolean arrays which saves the disk space and hence enhances the performance of the algorithm by reducing the disk access time. The database distorted through our distortion technique will provide reasonably accurate results without reconstruction.

The general frequent itemset mining algorithm can be extended to mine the distorted databases so as to improve the accuracy of the discovered patterns.

REFERENCES


New Improved Algorithm for Mining Privacy - Preserving Frequent Itemsets


